



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/944,969 | 08/30/2001 | Kay-Yut Chen | 10004567-1 | 2217 |
| 22879 | 7590 | 04/09/2009 | EXAMINER | |
| HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY | | | ROBERTSON, DAVID | |
| P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD | | | | |
| INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400 | | | 2121 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 04/09/2009 | ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

JERRY.SHORMA@HP.COM
ipa.mail@hp.com
jessica.l.fusek@hp.com

| | | | |
|--------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 09/944,969 | CHEN, KAY-YUT | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Dave Robertson | 2121 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Dave Robertson. (3) ____.

(2) Atty Dan Hu (Req. No. 40,025). (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 02 April 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: ____.

Identification of prior art discussed: ____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed amendments to be made on filing of a response to the Final OA of 1/7/09 wrt 35 U.S.C. 101. Examiner agreed that appropriately claimed and supported by the disclosure, with at least one or all significant step(s) being performed by the computer, Examiner would withdraw the rejection of claims under 101 and entered for the purpose of clarifying the issues on appeal.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/D. R./
Examiner, Art Unit 2121

/A. D./
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2121